

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,293	01/26/2001	Yoshiharu Hino	0152-0549P-SP	4828
7590 12/02/2004			EXAMINER	
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			LEE, SEUNG H	
P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 4115 01141011,	2000 0000000000000000000000000000000000		2876	
		DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/769,293	HINO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Seung H Lee	2876			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHI THE Exter after If the If NO Failu Any rearres	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Se	eptember 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on 21 September 2004, which has been entered in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney (US 6,204,764, of record) on view of Brady et al. (US 6,441,740)(hereinafter referred to as 'Brady').

Maloney teaches an object tracking system comprising a container (41) serving as an accessed abject wherein the container including a radio frequency identification (RFID) tag (52) serving as a non-contact IC module in which the RFID tag comprises an IC chip (53) and antenna (54 and 56), the antenna is extended form a bottom side (43) to a hinge cover (47) and/or a back cover (48) of the container, the RFID tag is in the form of adhesive stamps or stickers in which the RFID tag is flexible and is bent to attach to the inside of container (see Figs. 1-4; col. 6, line 12- col. 7, line 46).

However, Maloney fails to teach that the antenna is coil.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,293

Art Unit: 2876

نسر ، عر

Brady teaches a RFID tag (114) having an antenna (116) wherein the antenna has a various configuration and geometries including coil (see Fig. 1; col. 3, lines 33-col. 4, line 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Brady to the teachings of Maloney in order to provide alternative radio communication using well known antenna coil for receiving and/or transmitting data/information between the RFID tag and remote device.

4. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maloney as modified by Brady as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Sanders (US 6,276,523, record).

The teachings of Maloney/Brady have been discussed above.

Although, Maloney/Brady teaches the container having antenna thereon, he fails to particularly teach or fairly suggest that the container is a translucent and the container is an information recording medium.

However, Sanders teaches a compact disc container can be constructed of opaque plastic materials for holding information recording medium such as a compact disc (see Figs. 1a and 1b; col. 10, lines 25-38).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Sanders to the teachings of Maloney/Brady in order to provide convenience to user(s) wherein user(s) can verify the contents of the container without physically opening the container in which the container is holding the information recording medium such as a compact disc.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,293

Art Unit: 2876

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that "...completely fails to teach any thing about an antenna coil...." (see page 6, line 5+), the Examiner respectfully provide a Brady reference wherein the Brady reference teaches that coil can be used as an antenna as discussed in paragraph 3 above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Seung H. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax-phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [seung.lee@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should, be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Seung H. Lee Art Unit 2876

November 27, 2004

MICHAEL G. LEE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800